

Analysis of Cloud Computing Load Balancing Algorithms

Alok Kumar¹, Shivani Rohilla², Manish Bhardwaj^{3*}

¹Department of Computer Science and Technology, Central University of Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

²Department of Computer Science and Engineering, MIET, Meerut, India

³Department of Computer Sciences and Engineering, KIET, Ghaziabad, India

*Corresponding Author: manish.bhardwaj@kiet.edu, Tel.: +91-9457966671

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26438/ijcse/v7i2.359362> | Available online at: www.ijcseonline.org

Accepted: 15/Feb/2019, Published: 28/Feb/2019

Abstract— The utilization of cloud condition is developing step by step. The private ventures are utilizing cloud for their everyday need of assets since cloud give on interest and pay per use administrations. The business which is of low spending plan and not be ready to setup wide foundation for late innovations, Distributed computing is favouring for them. As the need increments, overseeing load at cloud is the greatest test that the cloud supplier has. Conveying meet load in various hub which might be topographically at various area is serious issue. Different load adjusting calculations are there for even dissemination of load. Again stack adjusting will enhance the parameters like cost, reaction time, through put and so forth. Too Load adjusting is a major perspective as far as power use what's more, asset use.

Keywords—Cloud Computing, Round Robin, Throttled, K-mean, Firefly, Distributed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Distributed computing is one of the rising and vital innovations now days. It gives on interest access to assets. So the clients need to pay for what they use. It will be embraced by an ever increasing number of clients, Industries, associations - . The need to oversee billions of client demands to such an extent that all solicitations will fulfil in appropriate time with less expense is imperative. It will happen when every accessible asset use decently.

The heap adjusting is the system through which legitimate asset use is conceivable by dispersing load similarly among accessible assets. Likewise it will make strides parameters like reaction time, throughput, cost and so forth.

This paper will examine different accessible load adjusting calculations and their upsides and downsides.

It is the procedure through which we can accomplish reasonable asset appropriation among undertakings and enhances the execution of cloud. Very stacked data centre uses more power. Load adjusting will likewise enhance the power proficiency of data centre and limit the wastage of intensity. Load Balancing calculations are mostly arranged in two sorts Static and Dynamic [1].

Static calculation utilizes the present condition of hub. It won't make a fuss over the past condition of hub. The client

necessities and accessible assets are predefined. Run time changes in necessities and assets are not permitted.

They are simpler to actualize and increasingly reasonable for homogeneous condition. Dynamic calculations utilize past just as present condition of hub to convey the heap. The client prerequisites what's more, Resources can be changed at run time. They are suited in homogeneous just as heterogeneous conditions.

The manuscript is orchestrated as pursues. Area 2 gives brief thought regarding load adjusting. Segment 3 covers Literature overview of Load adjusting calculations. Area 4 contains the measurements for looking at the examined calculations and segment 5 is finish of entire paper.

II. RELATED WORK

In [2] propose the relative investigation of Static calculation like robin and weighted round robin calculation and dynamic calculations like FCFS, Throttled , Adjusted Throttled and Particle Swarm Optimization Calculation. In round robin the demand are relegated in roundabout line. Each activity will be doled out to accessible VM for some fix timeframe after that VM will be moved toward the finish of queue. Weighted Round Robin will dole out load to each hub. So asks for are gotten relying upon weight. Throttled Load Balancing calculation aggregate VM as indicated by the demand they can deal with and ask for allocated to the VM which can deal

with it via seeking appropriate VM. In Modified Throttled the second inquiry will be begun from the VM that is beside recently allocated VM. In FCFS the demand are lined as they came. Load balancer will relegate the main demand to VM by considering Load of VM. In Particle Swarm Optimization the pbest for every molecule is determined and contrasted and its past wellness esteem and if new is more prominent than old is refreshed by new one. From all pbest the best esteem is doled out to Gbest what's more, than molecule position is refreshed and new speed is determined. They recreate above powerful calculation in cloudsims.

In [3] done similar examination of Min – Min and Max – Min calculations. Min – Min is straightforward to actualize. It will figure least finish time for all hubs. At that point the errand having least finishing time is picked and allot to the separate hub. Max-min picks the errand having most extreme finish time to keep running on hub. It will run short errand simultaneously with the long one. They recreate and analyze both calculation utilizing cloud sim.

In [4] propose cross breed booking calculation that join Divide and vanquish approach and throttled calculation. The calculation is having two pass. In first pass it utilizes partition and vanquish approach for separating assignment to accessible asset handler and dole out errand to accessible RH. Each time ask for is finished, the status of the RH is given to stack balancer for next apportioning. In second go for straightaway portion the demand will be dispensed to accessible RH which was not utilized as of late. Need of demand is additionally considered by stack balancer. The proposed calculation will be contrasted and throttled on test system cloud sim.

In [5] propose calculation utilizes the Enhanced Max min and insect province approach. They sort the virtual machine as indicated by enhanced max min and after that compute the execution time of submitted undertaking on each asset. They use Ant approach for estimation of execution time. Undertaking having greatest execution time is doled out to the asset having least finish time. At that point that undertaking is expelled from undertaking set. They reproduce the proposed calculation in cloud sim and contrast it and enhanced max min calculation.

In [6] propose Dynamic Load The executives algorithm. They think about powerful arrangement of virtual machines. At the point when new demand comes they check for most appropriate virtual machine. When the demand is bound they expel that VM list from gathering of accessible VM, so it won't be considered for any future demand until it completes allocated remaining burden and end up accessible once more. As the calculation will only one out of every odd time considers an over-burden VM over and over for planning so has less overhead. The creator looks at this calculation with

ideal VM Load adjusting calculation and mimics result in cloud examiner.

In [7] propose bunch base methodology for load adjusting. They assemble the VM in groups by utilizing K Mean Clustering by considering three asset types as parameters i.e CPU handling rate, Memory and Network transfer speed. Load balancer will at that point dole out the demand to the proper VM of the picked group by investigating the rundown of group and change the status to Available. The Proposed Calculation is contrasted and throttled and altered throttle.

In [8] propose the heap adjusting design for distributed computing dependent on numerous cluster. The primary three load adjusting components are: Main load balancer, Local load balancer and validation element. MLB keep up the table of bunches with their preparing limit and match customer ask for specific bunch. LLB utilizes planning calculation to perform stack adjusting inside bunch. They add validation layer to verify client and furthermore concede need to client and his activity.

In [9] talk about firefly calculation by utilizing conduct of firefly. Limit esteem is set for all virtual hubs. It will keep up list table for VMS. Whenever ask came the record table is hunt down least stacked VM. Any VM won't get stack more than limit esteem. It centers around Vitality utilization which is a key research issue in cloud registering condition.

In [10] propose Power Aware Asset Allocation Policy for Hybrid cloud. It passes information focus rundown and demand line as contention to calculation. It will first check the length of demand line and exit if line is void. At that point figure the power effectiveness of server farm of open just as private cloud and sort them. Private ask is having higher need than open request. If the demand is private, it will be allocated to the high power productive private datacenter which can fulfill the demand. In the event that high power effective private datacenter isn't accessible than relegate ask to open cloud datacenter having high power proficiency. In the event that the demand is open then it will be doled out to high power proficient open datacenter. On the off chance that it was not accessible than dole out to the private datacenter that is having least power productivity.

III. ANALYSIS OF EXISTING ALGORITHMS

a) Round robin

Low proficiency in stack adjusting, more reaction time, inappropriate asset the executives. Easy to execute Static in nature, poor Load adjusting, poor asset usage, more reaction time.

b) Modified throttled

Better as contrast with Throttled as the second inquiry will be begun from the VM that is by recently assigned VM. Reenacted in cloud sim and found less VM and

Information exchange cost contrast with FCFS. Contrast with static calculations talked about it has Less Response time, less information exchange cost; less tedious contrast with Throttled Compare to PSO the reaction time and cost is poor.

c) Particle swarm optimization

Adjusted throttled, FCFS and Particle swarm improvement are analyzed and mimicked in cloudsim and found that PSO perform superior to anything other two calculations as far as reaction time and cost. Less reaction time and cost contrast with Modified throttled and FCFS Priority isn't considered, doesn't consider asset explicit interest of undertaking.

d) Min-Min

Reenacted in cloud sim and found that execution of calculation is relied on cloud Environment. On the off chance that More number of Heavier assignments are there, Min Performs better. Easy to actualize, increasingly number of heavier undertakings then Min Performs better as far as asset usage and make length. Static in nature, earlier learning of assets and assignment is required.

e) Max-Min

Recreated in cloud sim and found that execution of calculation is relied on cloud Environment. In the event that number of lighter assignments are more, Max Min Performs better then Min. Easy to actualize, if progressively number of lighter errands then Max Min Performs better regarding asset usage and make range. Static in nature so earlier information of assets and errand is required.

f) Hybrid divide and conquer and modified throtelled

Reproduced in CloudSim and it contrasted and Modified Throttled calculation. They found that the proposed calculation decreases execution time by 9.972% and effective load adjusting as contrast with Modified Throttled calculation. Better asset usage contrast with Throttled, additionally has better Execution time Doesn't Consider need of undertaking, doesn't consider asset explicit interest of client.

g) Dynamic Load management

They contrast this calculation and ideal VM Load adjusting calculation and mimic outcomes in cloud investigator. It will Improves reaction time, information handling time and information exchange cost. It will make Proper asset usage. Enhance asset usage, information preparing cost and reaction time. It doesn't think about Priority of undertakings. It doesn't consider asset explicit interest of errand.

h) K-mean Clustering

It will be recreated on Cloudsim and result demonstrates that the proposed calculation enhances the parameters like Response time, Execution time, Make Span and throughput as contrast with throttled and adjusted throttled calculation It

considers the asset explicit interest of the assignment. It has less overhead as filtering for VM done in just coordinated Cluster. It is appropriate in heterogeneous Environment, It enhances Resource use, Response time, Execution time, Make length It Doesn't Consider the Priority of Task, Load adjusting inside group isn't considered.

i) Firefly

Mimic calculation on CloudSim and Compared with PSO calculation. Result demonstrates that the firefly calculation has less reaction time and great processor usage as contrast with PSO. The vitality utilization utilizing firefly is 10 to 14 % less look at to PSO. Effective as far as Energy utilization. Additionally reaction time and asset use is great contrast with PSO Difficult to actualize in heterogeneous condition. Need isn't considered.

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

Load Balancing assume an imperative job in cloud processing. It gives legitimate asset use and enhances the reaction time and cost that lead the client fulfilment. It additionally lessens the power utilization which is the greatest test in green registering. We have talked about very nearly fourteen load adjusting calculation and their aces and cons. The all talked about calculation act distinctively in various conditions. The utilization of calculations is reliant upon cloud condition, cloud size and client prerequisites.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. J. Kansal, "Cloud Load Balancing Techniques: A Step Towards Green Computing", IJCSI International Journal Of Computer Science Issues, ISSN (Online): 1694-0814, vol. 9, Issue 1, no. 1, pp. 238-246, 2012.
- [2] M. Sharma, P. Sharma and S. Sharma, "Efficient Load Balancing Algorithm in VM Cloud Environment", IJCST, vol. 3, Issue 1, January-March, 2012.
- [3] I. Psoroulas, I. Anagnostopoulos, V. Loumos and E. Kayafas, "A Study of the Parameters Concerning Load Balancing Algorithms", IJCSNS International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 202-214, 2007.
- [4] B. Wickremasinghe, R. N. Calheiros and R. Buyya, "CloudAnalyst: A CloudSim-based Visual Modeller for Analyzing Cloud Computing Environments and Applications", 20-23, pp. 446-452, 2012.
- [5] T. Desai. "A survey of various load balancing techniques and challenges in cloud computing" International Journals of scientific and technology research volume 2, Issue11, Nov 2013.
- [6] A. K. Sidhu. "Analysis of load balancing techniques in cloud computing", International Journal of computers & technology volume 4 No. 2, March-April, 2013, ISSN 2277-3061.
- [7] O.M. Elzeki, "Improved Max-Min Algorithm in Cloud Computing". International Journal of Computer Applications (0975-8887) Volume 50-No.12, July 2012.
- [8] N. Xoxa, M. Zotaj, I. Tafa, and J. Fejzaj, "Simulation of First Come First Served (FCFS) and Shortest Job First (SJF) Algorithms," IJCSN -International J. Comput. Sci. Netw. ISSN, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 2277-5420, 2014.

- [9] N. R. C. Manoranjan Dash, Amitav Mahapatra, “*Cost Effective Selection of Data Center in Cloud Environment*,” Spec. Issue Int. J. Adv. Comput. Theory Eng., vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 2319–2526, 2013.
- [10] A. M. Alakeel, “*A guide to dynamic load balancing in distributed computer systems*,” Int. J. Comput. Sci. Netw. Secur., vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 153–160, 2010.
- [11] B. Patel and S. Patel, “*Various Load Balancing Algorithms in cloud computing*,” IJARIIIE-ISSN (O)-2395-4396, Vol-1 Issue-2 2015.
- [11] R. Buyya, R. Ranjan and R. N. Calheiros on “*InterCloud: Utility-Oriented Federation of Cloud Computing Environments for Scaling of Application Services*”, ICA3PP 2010, Part I, LNCS 6081, pp. 13–31, 2010.
- [12] S. Dhaka and R. Garg on “*Review of resource scheduling in cloud computing*”, International journal of Enhanced Research in Management & Computer Applications. ISSN:2319-7471, Vol.4 Issue 7, July-2015.
- [13] Md. I. Alam, M. Pandey and S. S. Rautaray on “*A Comprehensive Survey on Cloud Computing*”, IJ. Information Technology and Computer Science, 2015, 02, 68-79 Published Online January 2015 in MECS (<http://www.mecs-press.org/>) DOI: 10.5815/ijitcs.2015.02.09.
- [14] V. Venkatesa Kumar, R. Revathi and M. N. Rajkumar on “*an assessment on various load balancing techniques in cloud computing*”, IJAICT Volume 1, Issue 8, December 2014 ISSN 2348 – 9928, Doi:01.0401/ijaict.2014.08.01 Published on 05 (01) 2015.
- [15] K. Purba and N. Bhagat on “*A Review on Load Balancing Algorithm in Cloud Computing*”, SSRG International Journal of Computer Science and Engineering (SSRG-IJCSE) – volume1 issue10 Dec 2014, ISSN: 2348 – 8387.
- [16] G. Patel, R. Mehta and U. Bhoi on “*Enhanced Load Balanced Min-Min algorithm for Static Meta Task Scheduling in Cloud Computing*”, 3rd International Conference on Recent Trends in Computing 2015 (ICRTC-2015).

Authors Profile

Mr. Alok Kumar is currently working as Assistant Professor in Department of Computer Science and Technology, Central University of Jharkhand. He has published lot of research papers in reputed international journals. His main research work focuses on Network Security, Cloud Security and Privacy, Big Data Analytics, Image Processing and Pattern Recognition, Data Mining and Computational Intelligence based education. He has 3 years of teaching experience, 2 year Industry experience and 2 years of Research Experience.



Mrs. Shivani Rohilla pursued Bachelor of Technology from A.K.T.U., Lucknow in the year 2012 and Master of Technology from SRM University, Chennai in the year 2015. She is currently working as an Assistant Professor in Department of Computer Science and Engineering, MIET, Meerut since July, 2017. She is a member of IEEE since 2013 and a life member of IAENG. She has published many research papers in reputed international journals. Her main research work focuses on Cloud Computing, Salesforce, Block chain management, Data mining, Adhoc networks, IoT, Network Security, Big data analytics and Intelligent Transport systems. She has four years of teaching experience with good research work.



Manish Bhardwaj Pursuing Ph.d from Dr. Abdul Kalam Technical University, Lucknow, India. Completed M.Tech (Computer Science & Engineering) from SRM University, Chennai and presently working as Assistant Professor (CSE) at KIET Group of Institutions, Ghaziabad; contributing his enormous research works in the area of Computer Science, Simulations, Mobile Ad hoc network protocols, Wireless Sensor Networks. He has published nearly 38 Research papers in various International Journals/Conferences. He is also contributing in Various International Conferences and Journals as General Chair, International Scientific Committee members and Editorial Board Member/Reviewers in reputed Journals like IEEE, Springer.

